	cal College Foundation ey Spring 2009 of Employees 2009	
	espondents: 79	
General Information	espondents. 73	Response Rate
General illumation	Adminstrator	11.7%
A. Employement status.	Faculty	37.7%
	Staff	
	0-5 Years	50.6% 42.9%
B. Years of Employement with ATC.	6-10 Years	20.8%
	11-20 Years More than 20 Years	27.3%
	YES YES	9.1% 74.0%
C. Donor to the Aiken Technical College Foundation.	NO	
Satisfaction Scores Scale: 0=N/A or No Opinion 1:	_	Agree 4-Strongly Agree
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	= Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=	
Knowledge of the Foundation's Goals and Activities		3.12
2. Farmedation Comments the restriction and apple of the called		3.50
2. Foundation Supports the mission and goals of the colleg		3.59
3. Foundation is appropriately included in the College's planning and evaluation process.		3.19
4. Receive useful information about the Foundation Activities.		3.13
5. Receive information about the Foundation on a timely basis.		3.22
6. Foundation fundraising activities meet the College's needs.		3.18
7. Assitance provided by the Foundation met expectations.		3.13
8. Foundation staff demonstrates a postitive attitude.		3.7
9. The willingness of the Foundation staff to provide assista	ance upon request is adequate.	3.52
10. Foundations Staff has appropriate expertise.		3.5
Overall Ovality Coales 0-N/A or No Opinion 1- (	Strongly Disagron 2-Disagron 2- A	aroo 1-Strongly Agroo
Overall Quality Scale: 0=N/A or No Opinion 1= S	Strollgly Disagree 2-Disagree 5- A	gree 4-3trongly Agree
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation	Strollgly Disagree 2-Disagree 5- A	3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior	and/or suggestions.	3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56
11a. Overall quality of the Foundation 11b. Overall effectiveness of the Foundatior		3.56

